UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

ANTOINE JONES,)	EOD
Plaintiff,)	
v.) Civil Action No.	07-1063 (RJL)
STEVE KIRCHNER et al.,)	
Defendants.)	FILED
)	MAY 2 7 2008
	MEMOD ANDUM ODDIVON	MANCY MAYER WHITTINGTON, CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Plaintiff is a prisoner recently convicted and sentenced to life in prison for conspiracy to distribute and possession with intent to distribute cocaine. While awaiting trial, plaintiff filed this action for civil rights violations seeking \$1 million in damages and alleging that several FBI agents and detectives from the Metropolitan Police Department's Safe Streets Task Force conducted unlawful searches that produced evidence used against the plaintiff in his criminal trial. The Court, upon sua sponte review, will dismiss the plaintiff's pro se complaint under the rule announced in Heck v. Humphrey, 512 U.S. 477 (1994) and applied to Bivens actions in Williams v. Hill, 74 F.3d 1339, 1340-41 (D.C. Cir. 1996).

In Heck v. Humphrey, the plaintiff alleged that prosecutors and police investigators involved in his criminal prosecution had engaged in unlawful conduct that led to his arrest and conviction. 512 U.S. at 479. The Supreme Court concluded that "the hoary principle that civil tort actions are not appropriate vehicles for challenging the validity of outstanding criminal judgments applies to § 1983 damages actions that necessarily require the plaintiff to prove the

unlawfulness of his conviction or confinement." *Id.* at 486. Accordingly, "in order to recover damages from allegedly unconstitutional conviction or imprisonment, or for other harm caused by actions whose unlawfulness would render a conviction or sentence invalid, a § 1983 plaintiff must prove that the conviction or sentence has been reversed on direct appeal, expunged by executive order, declared invalid by a state tribunal authorized to make such a determination, or called into question by a federal court's issuance of a writ of habeas corpus, 28 U.S.C. § 2254." *Id.* at 486-87. Accordingly, "the district court must consider whether a judgment in favor of the plaintiff would necessarily imply the invalidity of his conviction or sentence; if it would, the complaint must be dismissed unless the plaintiff can demonstrate that the conviction or sentence has already been invalidated." *Id.* at 487.

Here, the wrongs that plaintiff alleges, if proved, would render his conviction invalid.

The plaintiff has not demonstrated that his conviction or sentence has already been invalidated.

Therefore, under the rule in *Heck v. Humphrey*, this civil rights action for damages must be dismissed.

A separate order accompanies this memorandum opinion.

Date: 5/20/08

RICHARD J. LEON United States District Judge

¹ Plaintiff has recently noticed a direct appeal from his criminal conviction.